

1878.
(L.R.)

File No 16.
Serial No. 1-2

Sub. Proposal regarding the ~~Shanbhogue~~
Shanbhogue Lake of the
Kayangutta Lokshipur
Village, Bangalore &

G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

1878
191 .

File No. 16

Serial Nos. —

Later references.

(L.R.)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-3

Major Part B for January 1879.

SUBJECT.

Proposal regarding Shankhoguehak of the
Kanyanigutta Lakshminpura Village, Bangalore
Taluk.

Indexed.
Subbaker

In No 395 of 1840 was
 and would show
 in Ramiah got the
 date. We have not
 to reference in Duff.
 the Inam Sup^{ts} may
 referred to the Taluk
 records.

8/11/78

Wth as above
 9/11

ftv.

13-10-78

12	12
102	62
171	5
31	298

2400
 120
 2520

1240
 1325
 2545

1878 / 0.3/82.
 52
 From The Inam Sup^{ts}

To Secy to Ch. Commr of Mysore.

Dated 16 October 1878.

Despatched

Received - 19/11/78
 Recd 21/11/78

Enclosures No.

General No. 57 } 1878.
 No.

Requests that a search be made
 in this office for any references
 authorizing Shambog Ramiah
 to receive the Kirup & enjoy the
 village of Lakshminipulath in the
 Bangalore T.

To the same

To D. Officer

14-10-78

22 (28)

In the accounts from
 Verode (1830) to Vilambi (1838)
 Veeraraghava Whatts' name
 is entered as the owner
 of the village.

Shambog Ramiah's
 name is for the first
 time entered in the
 accounts of Vilambi Vellari
 (1839/40) - A reference
 to the Amildars' Wazee
 which the S. & Sup^{ts}

Chain Supt's Office
No 57 Chennaispetam. 16 Octr 1878.

To, The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the Koylungutta village of Lakshmi-pura in the Bangalore Taluk was originally granted to Halasur Pêli, Viranaghavabhatta as per Fouzdar Timmapporaj's W's Nirup of 7th February 1830 to the address of the Amil of Yelahanka.

2. In the register of Koylungutta villages, prepared in 1855 by the Superintendent of the late Bangalore Division, a remark is made, that Choukque Ramiach obtained possession of the village, and the Nirup in question, as the grantee declined to receive the same. No references are forthcoming in this Office to show the Authority

upon


upon which the Shanbogue got the village, when it was granted to a third party.

3. Order No 395 of 1840, issued by the Superintendent of the late Bangalore Division, directs the village to be continued to Myli Virasami Moodillias, who got it by purchase from the said Ramiak, who is therein called as the Kayengutta Der.

4. I request, under the foregoing circumstances, that you will be so good, as to direct a search to be made in the Chief Commissioner's records for any references authorizing Shanbogue Ramiak to receive the Nisup, and enjoy the village.

I have the honor to be,

Yrs. most obedt Servt



W. P. L.

Superintendent

4

145071

1878

44.

From

21/2/79

b

To The Supt. Inam Settlement
Mysore.

CR
16-1878
2

Dated 13th November/78.

Despatched *u - D*

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 6418

R No. 155

Your letter No. 578/16
October/78 requesting that
a search may be made
in the P. Court Records
for any references con-
cerning Shambhoo
Ramesh to receive the
warp and enjoy the
Kanyagotta village
of Laksheempura in
the Bangalore Taluk.

In reply, states that
no references on the
subject alluded to in
the above are forthcoming.

in the Duffin Records but
 in the accounts from
 Verori (1829-30) to Ve.

- Cambi (1838/39) Veera.

- raghava Bhatta's name
 is entered as the owner

of the village and

Shambhaji Rammiah's

name ^{appears} for the first

time ~~entered~~ in the accounts

of Vikari (1839/40) -

Suggests a reference

to the Taluk Records and

to the Amilowis letter

on which the Duffin

Order of 1840 was issued.

By Order



Secy.

21/11

0.4902.
113

1879.

From ^{the} Survey & Settlement Commr.

To Secy. to Ch. Commr. of Mysore.

Dated 23rd January 1879.

Despatched

Received 27th 1879

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 79 } 1879.
No.

Submits, with remarks, Docket from the Inam Sup^t in Mysore forwarding for order copy of the Mayenputtra Reg^r Lakshminipura of the Bangalore

[Signature]
27-1-79

I think this may be disposed of on receipt of reply from Govt of India & this office No 8060
1730 Dec 18. 1212

This must await 28/12
repl of India
27/12

27/12

7

No. 62
Bangalore 17 Jan 7 1879.

From

Inam Superintendent in Mysore.

To

Inam Commissioner in Mysore.


Despatched 18 } Jan 7 1879.
Received } 187

Enclosures. one

REFERENCE.

Your No. — dated — 187 .

Has the honor to forward
copy of the Kalyan gatta
Register of Lakshminipura
of the Bangalore Taluk
and to request that he
may be favored with
the Chief Commissioner's
decision on the point
involved therein.



Supdt of Inam Secy
No 79 of 1879
M. S. Survey & Inam Commissioners Office
Bombay 23rd January 1879
In this case it appears
from Para 2 Col 13 of
the Report that the proper

Register of the

11

- 1 Number of case.
- 2 General class to which the Hayling or the village belongs.
- 3 Name of the village.
- 4 Description of Nam of for service, it is to be stated, whether the service is continuous. If for buildings & whether they are efficient & kept up.
- 5 Amount of Hayling - whether paid to Government or not.
- 6 By whom granted, and in what year.

61. Personal. Being including Rajchak. For personal benefit.

P. F. V.	51-2-4	P. F. V. Jangdar
51-2-4		51-2-4 Jimapparaje
= Rs. as. P.		= Rs. as. P. was
149-4-4		149-4-4 Virodhi
		1829-30

Kayungutta Village of Lakshmi-pura

Written instruments in support of the claim, original Samad, all miscellaneous documents & other proof of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the claim is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost & the general specification of terms of all documents produced, should be given.

Name of the

original grantee

8

Whether the village is entered in the Reg. of Kayungutta village & declined from the Chief Surveying Office, if not in what other accounts.

7

1. Kirup, 7th February 1830 from Soudar Simunappa Rajas to Amil of Yellanka granting this village to party in Col: 8 in Kayungutta.

Kalacur pyute.

Veeraraghava-bhatt.

Huzur Kayam = get the account of 1835.

V. 20 74

2. Deed of sale, 8th July 1854, from one Srinivas Rao to Venkata Sookah Shastri, father of parties in Col: 10. In this it is stated that the seller had bought it from Amrulingum Mood².

Shankar of Namiah got it as the party in Col: 8 declined to have it.

Kadim Berig 3.

Rajibab 1-9-4

51-2-4

3. Deed of Mortgage, 22nd Sept: 1876 from Holders in Col: 10 to S. Srinivasalingar, amount to be repaid in six years, 4- Lease of the village for 6 years from S. Srinivasalingar to the Holders in Column 10 & 22nd Sep: 1878.

Taluk Account

of 1874-

Original frontier

Namiah,

Present Holder

Sookah Shastri

Rs: 49-4-4

Gelachanku 10 Sammat,

Residence, name,
all W of the present
owner.

Relation to

original grantee.

Unconnected
with the original
holder, possession
now obtained &
in what year.

10

11

12

1. Ramchandra Shastri
of Bangalore.

2. Venkatesh Shastri,
of Bangalore

3. Sivaram Shastri
Hyderabad.

Alienances.

By purchase.

Assistant's opinion, and
recommendation.

1. Venkatchar appears on behalf of the Parties in Column 10, and has produced the documents 1 & 2 in Column 7. He states that they have mortgaged the village to G. Srinivassienagar for 6 years from 1876 for Rs 1500, but they are in possession -

2. In the Kaypunguthak account of 1835 from the Chief's Office the following remark is entered.

"Viraraghava Bhutta not agreeing and not taking the Lammad, Shankag Ramiak having said took the Lammad & enjoys"

3. In the Taluk Jamma Band Gochwar account of 1860-61 it is stated that Shankag Ramiak sold it to Myli Viraswamy, who enjoyed it as his Division. Sup't's No 395 of 1840. This Myli Viraswamy was the father of the twentieth line of the hood, alluded to in Dec 2 & 12 of Col: 7.

4. Adjoined till 6 for production of Division Sup't's No 395 of 1840, and

proof

District, Kandichang Division

15

Review and confirmation by the
Suptt. of Main Settlements

proof of Authority for the Shanboque
Raminah having taken the land &
enjoyed the village.
4th Sept 1878. W. J. Rob. Cole.

5 Read Deed No. 3 in Cal: 7, in which
it is said that the Deed of Sale by original
grantee to the Shanboque Raminah could
not be found in "a Court." - Read also
Lease, document No. 4 in Cal: 7 by which
the present holders regained possession
for 6 years after mortgaging it to G.
Srinivasalingam.

6. Venkatachar says that No. 2 in
Cal: 10 has gone in search of No. 11
who is at Pennacondah.

7. Read also Division Suptt's No. 395
of 1870 in which the holder is called
the "Koylunguttha Dar" and is said
to have sold the village to Virasamy
Mudali in whose name the village
was to be continued. There is still

no evidence as to what authority
was given for transferring the land
to the Shawkoogee Ranniah.

8. Case adjourned till 2^d October
1878 to admit of Nos. 1 and 2 in col
10 attending 12 of Probate
of 12 Sept. 1878

9. Shawkoogee Ahali Pattiah of this
village, son of the Shawkoogee Ranniah
also appears this day & states that
he does not know how the land
came to be transferred to his father.
d/7.9.78.

N.B. Decree No. 374 returned to Venkata Rao
d/7.9.78.

10. No 2 in Col. 10 has this day appeared
and produced Decree of sale, 12 May 1854,
from Mr. Curran to Mr. D. (now
Ankiah Butshi) to Srinivas Rao, the
seller in Decree No. 2 in Col. 7 also a Taluk
from Naik Aml of Gellanka to
Shawkoogee

Shanboque, says to the effect that the
 Kaylungutthadar, whose name is not
 given but who is supposed to be Shanboque
 Ramiak, had sold this village to Mr.
 Vincent Murray Mood, father of Mr. A. Murray
 Mood. Dated 23rd June 1840, No 19.

11. The fact of the State Civil calling
 the ~~the~~ then seller, whoever he was,
 the "Kaylungutthadar" does not convey
 any legal authority to the Shanboque
 Ramiak having quietly taken possession
 of the Samud and enjoyed the village
 as Kaylungutthak. The Samud ought
 to have been returned to the Foul dar,
 when the person in whose name the Samud
 had been issued refused to take it, and
 no authority can be found for this village
 being conveyed to the Shanboque Ramiak,
 who was Shanboque of this village
 and of the Govt village of Somsheethak
 Ergo the present holders, can have no
 right to hold the village on the
 Kaylungutthak tenure.

12. Quote the Suplt's order No 395 of 1840, &
 ask whether any reference can be found
 in his office of any authority being
 conveyed to the Shanboque Ramiak
 taking possession of the village or
 of the Samud, also address
 the

the Secretary on the same subject for any
references in the War Dept.
2^d Oct. 1878. W of Rob: Cole.

13. Read Moochoolikha received with
division Court's No 436 of 4th Nov 1878 given
by the Chantagne Kamiah dated 7 June 1840,
requesting this village to be made over
to one Myle Vir as owner Moo J. In this
he states that he has obtained the
village from Govt as the original
grantee had not been able to find
the requisite security.

14. Still there is no authority for the
transfer of this village in Kayum-
-gutha tenure to the Chantagne. It
should be either resumed, or a
quit-rent introduced in consideration
of its enjoyment for nearly 50 years. I
submit through main Commission
for orders of Chief Commissioners.

/ Signed / Rob: Cole

Kayumangala

21st Nov 1878

"True Copy"
D. J. M.

Supt of Main Settlement